

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/897,141	07/03/2001	Masanori Yabu	0229-0649P	1199	
2292	7590 10/16/200	i e	EXAMINER		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			DUONG, 1	DUONG, THANH P	
PO BOX 74° FALLS CHU	/ JRCH, VA 22040-07	47	ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3711		
		DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(,)	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-0		
Office Action Summans	09/897,141	YABU, MASANOR	, MY		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tom P Duong	3711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17.5	Sentember 2003				
	is action is non-final.				
, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		acception on to the			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application	ı <b>.</b>				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers	·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exar	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a)   The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been rec	eived.	application).		
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti Attachment(s)	c priority under 35 0.5.0. 99 120	anu/or IZI.			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	(PTO-413) Paper No(seatent Application (PTO			
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			•		

Application/Control Number: 09/897,141

Art Unit: 3711

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-6 and 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuchiya '217. Regarding claims 1-2, Tsuchiya '217 discloses a golf club head (Figs. 1-7) comprising a Ti-alloy face (21) with thickness of 2-3.5mm, a

  Ti-alloy crown (22) with thickness of 0.6-3.0 mm, a sole (23) with thickness of 1-3 mm, a sidewall extending from the periphery of the sole towards the crown (Fig. 7) excluding the face, and a neck to be fixed to a shaft. Tsuchiya discloses it is conventional to form the club head parts by casting method including the sole and wall member (Col. 2, lines 24-38). However, the conventional casting technique suppresses enlargement of sweet spot (Col. 1, lines 54-54), difficult to control center of gravity (Col. 1, lines 64-65), poor flexion or coefficient of restitution (Col. 2, lines 13-15), and small moment of inertia values (Col. 2, lines 59-69). The above drawback is overcome in Tsuchiya's invention by fabricating the face and crown area thinner (Col. 2, lines 15-24) using plastic working or plastic deformation process (Col. 4, lines 61-68) or plastic deformation process in order to accomplish large sweet spot, improved coefficient of restitution, and moment of

Application/Control Number: 09/897,141

Art Unit: 3711

٦

inertia (Col. 3 lines 64-64). With respect to the sole thickness ratio (t2/t1), Tsuchiya discloses the sole thickness ranging from 1 to 3 mm (Col. 4, lines 50-51), wherein t1 is 1.0 and t2 is 3.0 mm. Regarding claims 2-3, Tsuchiya discloses the club parts are welded together (Col. 5, lines 5-7). Regarding claims 4-6 and 9, Tsuchiya discloses the head volume is 190 cc or larger (Col. 3, lines 50-52) and a density value of 4.5 g/cm<sup>3</sup> (Table 1). Regarding claim 8, it is inherent that the plastic working process utilizes rolled sheet metal as the starting material. Regarding claim 13, Tsuchiya discloses the moment of inertia of 3000 or larger (Col. 3, lines 64-69). Regarding claims 10-11, it is inherent that the golf club of Tsuchiya can be fabricated with smaller sweet spot height and a depth of center of gravity less than 36.0 mm at most thru routine optimization. since the fabrication process of Tsuchiya utilizes the same material composition. material thickness, and fabrication techniques. In addition, the corresponding properties from it test results are similar to the claimed invention. Claim 12 recites limitation similar to claims 1-6 and 8-11; thus, claim 12 is rejected for the same reasons as applied in claim 1-6 and 8-11, above.

2. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuchiya '217 in view of Peterson (6,162,133) and Hoshi et al. (5,205,560). Tsuchiya discloses it is conventional to fabricate club head which includes the sole and sidewall with casting material (Col. 2, lines 24-30), but does not disclose expressly the lost wax casting technique. Peterson teaches that the club head 10, including the sole plate and side wall, is fabricated as a one-piece body 32 by casting such as lost wax casting (Col. 4, lines 23-63) in order to eliminate the disadvantage of welding and mechanical fastening

Art Unit: 3711

(Col. 2, lines 37-41). Hoshi '560 also teaches that it is conventional to fabricate the club head with lost-wax casting process. Thus, it is inherent and obvious in view of Peterson and Hoshi to one having ordinary skill in the art that conventional casting method as mentioned by Tsuchiya inherently includes wax-casting process.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/897,141

Art Unit: 3711

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom P Duong whose telephone number is (703) 305-4559. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Sewell can be reached on (703) 308-2126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Tom Duong

Capania de la Laciner